

Degree Program Student Learning Report

Revised November 2019

Department of History & Political Science

AA in Secondary Education

For 2021-2022 Academic Year

PART 1

Degree Program Mission and Student Learning Outcomes

A. State the school, department, and degree program missions.

University Mission	School Mission	Department Mission	Degree Program Mission
Our mission is to ensure students develop the skills and knowledge required to achieve professional and personal goals in dynamic local and global communities.	Central to the mission of the School is the preparation of students to achieve professional and personal goals in their respective disciplines and to enable their success in dynamic local and global communities. Our strategy is to foster an academic setting of diverse curricula that inherently incorporates an environment of service and collegiality.	The mission of the Department of History and Political Science is to support discipline-specific degree programs as well as the University's general education program.	The Associate in Arts in Secondary Education (Social Studies option) is designed to prepare students for a career in teaching grades six through twelve, specializing in Social Science. The program is designed to provide the student with the first two years of general requirements to transfer to a four year program.

B. Align school purposes, department purposes, and program student learning outcomes with their appropriate University commitments.

University Commitments	School Purposes	Department Purposes	Student Learning Outcomes
To provide quality associate, baccalaureate, and graduate degree opportunities and educational experiences which foster student excellence in oral and written communications, scientific reasoning and critical and creative thinking.	The School offers innovative degrees, which focus upon developing skills in oral and written communication, critical thinking, creativity, empirical and evidenced-based inquiry, experimental investigation and theoretical explanation of natural phenomena, and innovative technology.	Offers innovative and quality teaching both within the classroom and through distance education.	Students will be able to demonstrate broad knowledge of history, political science, and geography.
To promote an atmosphere of academic and intellectual freedom and respect for diverse expression in an environment of physical safety that is supportive of teaching and learning.	The School educates its majors to think independently and have the knowledge, skills and vision to work in all types of situations and careers and communicate with all types of people.	Foster the skills of critical thinking, writing, research, and oral communication among our students	Students will demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through oral presentations and papers dealing with history.
To provide a general liberal arts education that supports specialized academic programs and prepares students for lifelong learning and service in a diverse society.	The School offers general education courses of high quality and purpose that provide a foundation for life- long learning.	Serve the University and the community through the provision of quality general education courses.	Students will demonstrate a broad understanding of general education.
To provide students with a diverse, innovative faculty dedicated to excellence in teaching, scholarly pursuits and continuous improvement of programs.	The School fosters a community of scholars among the faculty and students of the institution.	Foster values of scholarship, creativity, appreciation of diversity, and community service among our faculty, staff, and students.	
To provide university-wide student services, activities and resources that complement academic programs.			

University Commitments	School Purposes	Department Purposes	Student Learning Outcomes
To support and strengthen student, faculty and administrative structures that promote shared governance of the institution.			
To promote and encourage student, faculty, staff and community interaction in a positive academic climate that creates opportunities for cultural, intellectual and personal enrichment for the University and the communities it serves.	The School fosters a community of scholars among the faculty and students of the institution.	Attract and retain high quality traditional and nontraditional students.	

PART 2
Revisit Proposed Changes Made in Previous Assessment Cycle

Revisit each instructional/assessment change proposed in Part 5 of the degree program SLR for the preceding year. Indicate whether the proposed change was implemented and comment accordingly. Any changes the department implemented for this academic year, but which were not specifically proposed in the preceding report, should also be reported and discussed here. Please note if no changes were either proposed or implemented or this academic year.

Proposed Change	Implemented? (Y/N)	Comments
SLO #1 was changed to "Students will be able to demonstrate broad knowledge of U.S. and Oklahoma history" and SLO #3 was changed to "Students will demonstrate a broad understanding of general education."	SLO #2: Students will	Assess both oral and written communications as teachers need both skill sets.

<p>Incorporate oral communications into the HIST 3243 Writing and Research for Historians assessment material.</p>	<p>demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through oral presentations and papers on U.S./global history.</p>
--	--

PART 3
Response to University Assessment Committee Peer Review

The University Assessment Committee provides written feedback on departmental assessment plans through a regular peer review process. This faculty-led oversight is integral to RSU’s commitment to the continuous improvement of student learning and institutional effectiveness. UAC recommendations are not compulsory and departments may implement them at their discretion. Nevertheless, respond below to each UAC recommendations from last year’s peer review report. Indicate whether the recommendation was implemented and comment accordingly. Please indicate either if the UAC had no recommendations or if the program was not subject to review in the previous cycle.

Peer Review Feedback	Implemented (Y/N)	Comments
No peer review feedback was accomplished this year.		

PART 4
Evidence of Student Learning

Evidence and analyze student progress for each of the student learning outcomes (same as listed in Part I B above) for the degree program. See the *Appendix* for a detailed description of each component. Note: The table below is for the first program learning outcome. Copy the table and insert it below for each additional outcome. SLO numbers should be updated accordingly.

**A.
Student Learning Outcome**

SLO #1: Students will demonstrate a broad knowledge of U.S. and Oklahoma history.						
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)	
Pass rate on the Oklahoma Subject-Area Test in History (OSAT)	All students will earn at least a 240 on the OSAT.	All majors who transfer to the Cameron University Education program.	0	No students took the OSAT this year.	N/A	
H. Conclusions						
No conclusions could be formed.						

**A.
Student Learning Outcome**

SLO #2a: Students will demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through a paper on U.S./global history.						
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)	
Students will demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through papers on historical topics.	At least 80% of Secondary Education majors will prepare a paper demonstrating their understanding of appropriate sources and analysis/critical thinking skills in HIST 3243 <i>Writing</i>	All majors in the class are included.	1	One of one (100%) students prepared a paper demonstrating their understanding of appropriate sources and analysis/critical thinking skills.	Y	

A. Student Learning Outcome						
SLO #2a: Students will demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through a paper on U.S./global history.						
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)	
	<i>and Research for Historians.</i>					
H. Conclusions						
Because of the small sample size, no conclusion could be drawn.						

A. Student Learning Outcome						
SLO #2b: Students will demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through oral presentations and papers on U.S./global history.						
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)	
Students will demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through oral presentations on historical topics.	At least 80% of Secondary Education majors will give an oral presentation demonstrating their understanding of appropriate sources and analysis/critical thinking skills in HIST 3243 <i>Writing and Research for Historians.</i>	All majors in the class are included.	1	One of one (100%) students completed an oral presentation at the excellent level.	Y	

A. Student Learning Outcome						
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)	
SLO #2b: Students will demonstrate analytical and critical thinking skills through oral presentations and papers on U.S./global history.						
H. Conclusions						
Because of the small sample size, no conclusion could be drawn.						

A. Student Learning Outcome						
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)	
SLO #3: Students will demonstrate a broad understanding of general education.						
Passing the OGET.	All students will earn at least a 240 out of 300 on the OGET.	Every student seeking employment in Oklahoma primary education must take the OGET.	4	Three out of four (75%) students passed the OGET with a score of 240 or higher.		N
H. Conclusions						
The department will work with Cameron University education administrators to determine if we should change our program. Since the majority of students passed and all students passed last year, the failure of a single student may be an anomaly.						

PART 5
Proposed Instructional or Assessment Changes

Learning outcomes assessment can generate actionable evidence of student performance that can be used to improve student success and institutional effectiveness. Knowledge of student strengths and weakness gained through assessment can inform faculty efforts to improve course instruction and program curriculum. Below discuss potential changes the department is considering which are aimed at improving student learning or the assessment process. Indicate which student learning outcome(s) will be affected and provide a rationale for each proposed change. These proposals will be revisited in next assessment cycle.

Proposed Change	Applicable Learning Outcomes	Rationale and Impact
A change in assessment measures may be required for SLO #1 and SLO #3 since students may no longer be required to take the OGET and OSAT tests. However, until complete guidance is available, no change can be reliably made.	<p>SLO #1: Students will demonstrate a broad knowledge of U.S. and Oklahoma history.</p> <p>SLO #3: Students will demonstrate a broad understanding of general education.</p>	The state of Oklahoma has removed the requirement for students to take the OGET, but it appears that some proof of general education knowledge will be required for admission to education programs. When this information is determined and provided, the assessment measures will be updated to comply.

PART 6
Summary of Assessment Measures



- A. How many different assessment measures were used? Three different assessment measures were used.
- B. List the direct measures (see appendix): Third party exams and class assignments.
- C. List the indirect measures (see appendix): None.

PART 7
Faculty Participation and Signatures

A. Provide the names and signatures of all full time and adjunct faculty who contributed to this report.

Faculty Name	Assessment Role	Signature
David Bath	Department representative/primary author	
Michael Beauchamp	Department Review Board	
Paul Hatley	Department Review Board	
Jane Johansson	Department Review Board	
Carolyn Taylor	Department Review Board	
Quinton Taylor	Department Review Board	
Sigismond Wilson	Department Review Board	

B. Reviewed by:

Titles	Name	Signature	Date
Department Head	Ken Hicks		6-20-22
Dean	Keith Martin		6/27/22

Appendix

Student Learning Outcome

Student learning outcomes are the observable or measurable results that are expected of a student following a learning experience. Learning outcomes may address knowledge, skills, attitudes, or values that provide evidence that learning has occurred. They can apply to a specific course, a program of study, or an institution. Outcomes should be worded in language that clearly implies a measurable behavior or quality of student work. Outcomes should also include Bloom's action verbs appropriate to the skill level of learning expected of students.

Examples:

Students will be able to apply principles of evidence-based medicine to determine clinical diagnoses and implement acceptable treatment modalities.

Students will be able to articulate cultural and socioeconomic differences and the significance of these differences for instructional planning.

Assessment Measure

An assessment measure is a tool or instrument used to gather evidence of student progress toward an established learning outcome. Every program learning outcome should have at least one appropriate assessment measure. Learning outcomes are frequently complex, however, and may require multiple measures to accurately assess student performance. Assessment plans should try to incorporate a combination of direct and indirect assessment measures. Direct provide concrete evidence of whether a student has command of a specific subject or content area, can perform a certain task, exhibits a particular skill, demonstrates a certain quality in their work, or holds a particular value. Because direct measures tap into actual student learning, it is often viewed as the preferred measure type. Indirect measures assess opinions or thoughts about the extent of a student's knowledge, skills, or attitudes. They reveal characteristics associated with learning, but they only imply that learning has occurred. Both types of measures can provide useful insight into student learning and experiences in a program. Each also has unique advantages and disadvantages in terms of the type of data and information it can provide. Examples of common direct and indirect measures are listed below.

Direct Measures

- Comprehensive exams
- Class assignments
- Juried review of performances and exhibitions
- Internship or clinical evaluations
- Portfolio evaluation
- Pre/post exams
- Third-party exams such as field tests, certification exams, or licensure exams
- Senior thesis or capstone projects

Indirect Measures

- Graduate exit interviews
- Focus group responses
- Job placement statistics
- Graduate school placement statistics
- Graduation and retention rates
- Student and alumni surveys that assess perceptions of the program
- Employer surveys that assess perceptions of graduates
- Honors and awards earned by students and alumni.