STUDENT LEARNING REPORT

(Rev. August 2013)

ROGERS STATE UNIVERSITY

Department of Business For Academic Year Fall 2014 – Spring 2015

Effectively assessing a degree program should address a number of factors:

- Valid student learning outcomes should be clearly articulated;
- Valid assessment measures should be used, consistent with the standards of professional practice;
- There should be evidence that assessment data are being used by faculty to make necessary instructional or assessment changes; and there should be evidence that instructional or assessment changes are being implemented to improve student learning.

Relationship of Degree Program (or Major) Learning Outcomes to Departmental and University Missions

Name of Degree, including Level and Major: B.S. Business Administration

= Þ Insert and clearly state the school, department and degree program missions in the spaces below

University Mission	School Mission	Department Mission	Degree Program Mission
Our mission is to ensure students develop the skills and knowledge	Roger State University's School of The Department of Business offers The Bachelor of Science in Business and Technology prepares dynamic business degree Rusiness Administration is	The Department of Business offers dynamic business degree	The Bachelor of Science in
required to achieve professional	students by developing outstanding programs designed to prepare	programs designed to prepare	designed to meet the continuing
and personal goals in dynamic	knowledge and skills in their field of RSU students for success	RSU students for success in	demand for business
local and global communities.	study, while placing great	today's competitive business	administration majors who
	emphasis on the individual's	climate.	understand the function of
	personal development of core		business and can utilize those
	values and ethics.		functions in an increasingly
		~	competitive, global economy.

œ Insert and clearly state school purposes, department purposes and degree program student learning outcomes in the spaces below, outcomes and purposes with their appropriate university commitments making sure to align the degree program student learning outcomes with their appropriate school and department purposes, and these

To provide quality associate, The School will offer innovative	University Commitments School Purposes
	oses
The Department will foster	Department Purposes
Students will demonstrate	Student Learning Outcomes

University Commitments	School Purposes	Department Purposes	Student Learning Outcomes
baccalaureate, and graduate degree opportunities and educational experiences which foster student excellence in oral and written communications, scientific reasoning and critical and creative thinking.	degrees which focus upon developing skills in written communication, critical thinking, and specialized business skills.	students' critical thinking skills and specialized business skills.	competence in specialized business skills and technologies.
To promote an atmosphere of academic and intellectual freedom and respect for diverse expression in an environment of physical safety that is supportive of teaching and learning.			
To provide a general liberal arts education that supports specialized academic program sand prepares students for lifelong learning and service in a diverse society.			
To provide students with a diverse, innovative faculty dedicated to excellence in teaching, scholarly pursuits and continuous improvement of programs.		-	
To provide university-wide student services, activities and resources that complement academic programs.		e i ga delle aggine e an	
To support and strengthen student, faculty and administrative structures that promote shared governance of the institution.			
To promote and encourage student, faculty, staff and community interaction in a positive academic climate that creates		20 To 10 To	

opportunities for cultural, intellectual and personal enrichment for the University and the communities it serves.	University Commitments	School Purposes	Department Purposes	Student Learning Outcomes
	opportunities for cultural, intellectual and personal enrichment for the University and the communities it serves.		- M - g western	

Discussion of Instructional Changes Resulting from 2013-2014 Degree Program Student Learning Report

2 List and discuss all instructional or assessment changes proposed in Part 5 of last year's Degree Program Student Learning Report, whether the budget. If no changes were planned or implemented, simply state "No changes were planned or implemented." implemented or not. Any other changes or assessment activities from last year, but not mentioned in last year's report, should be discussed here as well. Emphasis should be placed on student learning and considerations such as course improvements, the assessment process, and

Instructional or Assessment Changes	Changes Implemented (Y/N)	Impact of Changes on Degree Program Curriculum or Budget
No changes were planned or implemented.	NA	NA .

ယ The University Assessment Committee in its Degree Program Peer Review Report provided feedback and recommendations for improvement recommended last year, simply state "No changes were recommended." implemented or will be implemented at a future date. If they were not or will not be implemented, please explain why. If no changes were in assessment. List or accurately summarize all feedback and recommendations from the committee, and state whether they were

Additional narrative required	Feedback and Recommended Changes from the University Assessment Committee
~	Suggestions Implemented (Y/N)
Additional narrative in the conclusions sections was included.	Changes that Were or Will Be Implemented, or Rationale for Changes that Were Not Implemented

Analysis of Evidence of Student Learning Outcomes

4 For all student learning outcomes (as listed in Part 1 B above), describe the assessment measures and performance standards used, as well as the sampling methods and sample sizes. For each measure, document the results of the activity measured and draw any relevant conclusions related to strengths and weaknesses of their performance.

1. Students will demonstrat e competenc e in specialized business skills and technologi es	A. Student Learning Outcomes
1a. SAP Recognition Program. Students complete three courses that are comprised of at least 33% SAP content.	B. Assessme nt Measures
1a. At least 5 students will complete the SAP Recognition Program in 2014-15.	C. Performanc e Standards
Students self-select to complete the SAP Recognition Program.	D. Sampling Methods
students completed the SAP Recognitio n Program in 2014-15	E. Sample Size (N)
14 students completed the SAP Recognition Program in 2014-15.	F. Results
The majority of the coursework for the SAP Recognition Program is embedded within the BADM curriculum. Students then take 1 additional ERP course to complete the program. Companies express the desire for students with SAP training; therefore, more students are taking advantage of the Program.	G. Conclusion s
· ~	G. H. Conclusion Performanc s e Standards Met (Y/N)

			A. Student Learning Outcomes
economics, finance, quantitative business analysis legal and social environment international business, and information systems.	,}, ← 5; 	2b. ETS Fieldtest: Students are administered the Educational Testing Service Field Test in Business. The	B. Assessme nt Measures
	business knowledge by scoring at or above 140 (70 percent) on the ETS Major Field Test in Business.	Seventy percent of the students completing their major course work will demonstrate their practical applications of	C. Performanc e Standards
		All students in the capstone will be administere d the ETS Field Test.	D. Sampling Methods
		63	E. Sample Size (N)
Legal Soc Info Sys Intll Issues Mktg	Ass Ind online Acctg Econ Mgmt Quant	60 of 63 (95%) scored above 140. Overall mean = 153. Avg: 152.3 Score # students online Over 140 28	
58 57 57	2014- 2015 42 42 42 43	scored abo	R _{es}
	Ass ind inclass	# students online 28	F. Results
62 55 61	2014- 2015 43 40 61 35 38	# students In class	
students who can demonstrate proficiency in all areas of business. The online vs live subject area scores did not vary significantly from each	meeting or exceeding the performance standard increased. Incremental progress was made in raising the	The aggregate subject area scores did not vary significantly from last year's results; however, the total number of students	G. Conclusion s
		~	G. H. Conclusion Performanc s e Standards Met (Y/N)

		A. Student Learning Outcomes
2d. Pre & Post Test scores from ECON 3003	2c. Pre & Post Test scores from MKTG 3113.	B. Assessme nt Measures
Students will improve posttest scores over pretest scores by at least 20%.	Students will improve posttest scores over pretest scores by at least 20%.	C. Performanc e Standards
Complete sections were chosen as samples and administere d the pretest and the same group administere	Complete sections were chosen as samples and administere d the pretest and the same group administere d the posttest.	D. Sampling Methods
29	80	E. Sample Size (N)
Pretest avg – 26.1 Posttest avg – 29.93	Pretest avg – 6.35 Posttest avg – 8.32	F. Results
In combination with the ETS subject area scores in International Issues, the department must explore potential issues further.	other. Scores in Quantitative Business are lower than desired. Posttest scores do not reflect any overall deficiencies.	G. Conclusion s
z	≺	H. Performanc e Standards Met (Y/N)

	A. Student Learning Outcomes
	B. Assessme nt Measures
	C. Performanc e Standards
d the posttest.	D. Sampling Methods
	E. Sample Size (N)
	F. Results
	G. Conclusi
•	G. H. Conclusion Performanc e Standards Met (Y/N)

5 are planned." other considerations, such as curriculum, degree plan, assessment process, or budget. If no changes are planned, simply state "No changes State any proposed instructional or assessment changes to be implemented for the next academic year. They should be based on conclusions reported in Part 4 (above) or on informal activities, such as faculty meetings and discussions, conferences, pilot projects, textbook adoption, new course proposals, curriculum modifications, etc. Explain the rationale for these changes and how they will impact student learning and

Student Learning Outcomes	Students will demonstrate competence in specialized business skills and technologies A greater em writing skills BADM 3113.
Instructional or Assessment Changes	Students will demonstrate competence in specialized business skills and technologies A greater emphasis on basic writing skills will be added to BADM 3113.
Rationale for Changes	Based on faculty discussions written communication skills across majors should be improved.
Impact of Planned Changes on Student Learning and Other Considerations.	Increasing effort towards basic writing skills in BADM 3113 will increase writing competencies across disciplines in upper division courses.

9 http://www.rsu.edu/committees/assessment/docs/FacultyInsights.pdf. Please briefly describe the instructional practice. More detail can be communicated during the face to face peer review session. The Peer Review Report does not rate this part, but it does note whether or not (OPTIONAL) If your department or an individual faculty member has developed a teaching technique they believe improves student learning any contribution has been made. or student engagement in the classroom, please share it below. Examples can be seen at

Description

7) Assessment Measures:

- A. How many different assessment measures were used? 4
- List the direct measures (see rubric): (1) SAP Recognition Program; (2) ETS Major Field Test; (3) Pre & Post Test Scores from MKTG 3113; (4) Pre & Post Test Scores from ECON 3003.
- C. List the indirect measures (see rubric): Click here to enter text.

Documentation of Faculty Assessment

- <u>@</u> A. How many full time faculty (regardless of department affiliation) teach in the program? 10
- Provide the names and signatures of all faculty members who contributed to this report and indicate their respective roles:

Faculty Members	Roles in the Assessment Process (e.g., collect data, analyze data, prepare report, review report, etc.)	Signatures
Dr. Todd Jackson	Reviewer	Call Rel
Dr. Masoud Saffarian	Data Collection	M. Salla
Dr. Gary Marche	Data Collection	(> Marke
Dr. Terry Sutton	Data Collection	
Mr. Bob Willis	Reviewer	The Carlotte Control
Dr. David Johnk	Data Collection	has
		1
	发音	

9) Reviewed by:

Department Head Dr. Cathy Kennemer	