Degree Program Student Learning Report

Revised November 2019

Department of Technology and Justice Studies

BS in Business Information Technology

For 2019-2020 Academic Year

PART 1
Degree Program Mission and Student Learning Outcomes

A. State the school, department, and degree program missions.

University Mission	School Mission	Department Mission	Degree Program Mission
Our mission is to ensure students develop the skills and knowledge required to achieve professional and personal goals in dynamic local and global communities.	The mission of the School of Professional Studies (SPS) to develop students' skills and knowledge so they can successfully perform in their professional career of choice, and to prepare them to be lifelong learners in a diverse society. This is accomplished in a positive academic climate which is supported by academic and intellectual freedom, and faculty who are dedicated to a quality educational experience. Curricula for the associate, bachelors and graduate degrees are developed by expert faculty who are dedicated to an excellence in teaching, research and university service. The programs in the SPS are dynamic,	The mission of the Department of Technology and Justice Studies is to support the SPS and RSU in their mission to prepare students to achieve professional and personal goals in dynamic local and global communities.	The Bachelor of Science in Business Information Technology is designed to meet the growing demand for information technology specialists who are able to communicate effectively and are knowledgeable of business needs. Students may choose from options in Computer Network Administration or Software Development and Multimedia.

University Mission	School Mission	Department Mission	Degree Program Mission
	and foster student achievement of their personal and professional goals reflective of their field of study. Innovative teaching strategies are used across diverse educational platforms to facilitate student learning outcomes.		

B. Align school purposes, department purposes, and program student learning outcomes with their appropriate University commitments.

University Commitments	School Purposes	Department Purposes	Student Learning Outcomes
To provide quality associate, baccalaureate, and graduate degree opportunities and educational experiences which foster student excellence in oral and written communications, scientific reasoning and critical and creative thinking.	The SPS provides this support by providing two-year and four-year educational opportunities in business, sport management, technology, justice studies, nursing, and emergency medical services. The SPS accomplishes its mission through traditional and innovative learning opportunities including one graduate program, nine bachelor's programs and seven associate degrees. The baccalaureate degrees are taught using a large array of innovative methods.	The Department of Technology and Justice Studies provides the technology course support for the Associate in Science and Associate in Applied Science degrees, as well as the Bachelor of Science in Business Information Technology, the Bachelor of Science in Game Development, and the Bachelor of Technology in Applied Technology. The department also offers a Bachelor of Science in Justice Administration and an Associate in Arts degree in Criminal Justice with options in Law/Justice and the Collegiate Officer Program (COP). As indicated, many of the programs offered by the Department of Technology and Justice Studies are available online.	1. Students will demonstrate competence in analyzing problems, designing, and implementing programs to solve the problems using computer programming languages. 2. Students will integrate the design, implementation and administration of computer networks. 3. Students will demonstrate knowledge and practical technology and business oriented skills to compete in the modern business environment. 4. Students will be able to integrate the entire software life cycle including analysis, design, implementation, and maintenance.

University Commitments	School Purposes	Department Purposes	Student Learning Outcomes
To promote an atmosphere of academic and intellectual freedom and respect for diverse expression in an environment of physical safety that is supportive of teaching and learning.			
To provide a general liberal arts education that supports specialized academic program sand prepares students for lifelong learning and service in a diverse society.			
To provide students with a diverse, innovative faculty dedicated to excellence in teaching, scholarly pursuits and continuous improvement of programs.			
To provide university-wide student services, activities and resources that complement academic programs.			
To support and strengthen student, faculty and administrative structures that promote shared governance of the institution.			
To promote and encourage student, faculty, staff and community interaction in a positive academic climate that creates opportunities for cultural, intellectual and personal enrichment for the University and the communities it serves.			

PART 2
Revisit Proposed Changes Made in Previous Assessment Cycle

Revisit each instructional/assessment change proposed in Part 5 of the degree program SLR for the preceding year. Indicate whether the proposed change was implemented and comment accordingly. Any changes the department implemented for this academic year, but which were not specifically proposed in the preceding report, should also be reported and discussed here. Please note if no changes were either proposed or implemented or this academic year.

Proposed Change	Implemented? (Y/N)	Comments
Assessment measure for SLO#1 was changed to the results of PAT (Programming Assessment Test) taken by BIT majors. The test was given to all CS 2323 Programming II students. The test results of BIT majors were extracted and given in this report.	Y	We have used PAT for SLO#1 before we switched to the exit exam. We have decided that PAT is a better assessment measure than the exit exam as PAT focuses students' programming skills and knowledge.

PART 3
Response to University Assessment Committee Peer Review

The University Assessment Committee provides written feedback on departmental assessment plans through a regular peer review process. This faculty-led oversight is integral to RSU's commitment to the continuous improvement of student learning and institutional effectiveness. UAC recommendations are not compulsory and departments may implement them at their discretion. Nevertheless, respond below to each UAC recommendations from last year's peer review report. Indicate whether the recommendation was implemented and comment accordingly. Please indicate either if the UAC had no recommendations or if the program was not subject to review in the previous cycle.

Peer Review Feedback	Implemented (Y/N)	Comments
		We have not received written feedback from the assessment peer group. We incorporated the comments made by the peer-review group to summarize the past data using tables and graphs in SLO#1.

PART 4
Evidence of Student Learning

Evidence and analyze student progress for each of the student learning outcomes (same as listed in Part I B above) for the degree program. See the *Appendix* for a detailed description of each component. <u>Note</u>: The table below is for the first program learning outcome. Copy the table and insert it below for each additional outcome. SLO numbers should be updated accordingly.

<table>
<tr><td colspan="7" align="center">A.
Student Learning Outcome</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="7">SLO #1: Students will demonstrate competence in analyzing problems, designing, and implementing programs to solve the problems using computer programming languages.</td></tr>
<tr>
<td align="center">B.
Assessment Measure</td>
<td align="center">C.
Performance Standard</td>
<td align="center">D.
Sampling Method</td>
<td align="center">E.
Sample Size (n)</td>
<td colspan="2" align="center">F.
Results</td>
<td align="center">G.
Standard Met (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Assessment Test (PAT) in CS 2323</td>
<td>60% of the students who took the exam score higher than 60%.</td>
<td>All BIT majors in AS in CS 2323. All classes were online.</td>
<td>Fall: 7/9
Spring: 8/10</td>
<td colspan="2">

	2020 Online	2019 Online
Range	Spring	Fall
9-10	0	0
8.0-8.9	2	3
7.0-7.9	1	1
6.0-6.9	0	2
5.0-5.9	4	0
0-5.0	1	1
Mean	5.94	6.86
Median	5.25	7.00
STDEV	1.57	1.22

</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr><td colspan="7" align="center">H.
Conclusions</td></tr>
</table>

A. Student Learning Outcome					

SLO #1: Students will demonstrate competence in analyzing problems, designing, and implementing programs to solve the problems using computer programming languages.

B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)

Previous Data of ALL majors in CS 2323

Range	2019 Online Spring	2018 Online Fall	2017-2018 Online Both	2018 Traditional Spring
9-10	1	0	0	0
8.0-8.9	0	0	1	1
7.0-7.9	1	1	15	1
6.0-6.9	3	4	11	2
5.0-5.9	3	1	14	2
0-5.0	1	3	0	0
Mean	5.94	5.11	6.15	5.92
Median	6.00	6.00	6.5	6.25
STDEV	1.74	2.01	1.25	1.25

PAT Scores 2018-2020 (Mean, Median)

7/15 or 47% students successfully scored 60% or better which does not meet the performance standard. As can be seen in the graph, the trend was for improving scores with the exception of the last (Spring 2020) data point. The PAT exam is administered at the end of the course after the CORVID-19 crisis occurred. Whether the drop in scores this semester is a trend or an aberration in the chaos brought on by the changes in response to the crisis remain to be seen.

It should be noted that the percentage of students who took the exam has increased over the past two years because of a change in grading from a pure participation mark to a performance based one. It might have been acted as a signal for the students to take the exam more seriously.

A. Student Learning Outcome					
SLO #1: Students will demonstrate competence in analyzing problems, designing, and implementing programs to solve the problems using computer programming languages.					
B. Assessment Measure	**C.** Performance Standard	**D.** Sampling Method	**E.** Sample Size (n)	**F.** Results	**G.** Standard Met (Y/N)

A. Student Learning Outcome					
SLO #2: Students will integrate the design, implementation and administration of computer networks.					
B. Assessment Measure	**C.** Performance Standard	**D.** Sampling Method	**E.** Sample Size (n)	**F.** Results	**G.** Standard Met (Y/N)
An IT 2153 hands-on project will be assigned that examines the students' knowledge and ability to set up a minimal Local Area Network (LAN) involving a server and two or more clients.	70% of the students will be able to design a Local Area Network (LAN) upon completing all the assignments in the IT 2153 Network course and obtaining the average grade of 70% or more.	All BIT students taking IT 2153 in Fall 2019. Class is online.	11	Course Grades: 90-100 5 80-89 2 70-79 1 60-69 0 0-59 3 8 out of 11 (72.7%) met the performance measure.	Y
H. Conclusions					

A.						
Student Learning Outcome						

SLO #2:   Students will integrate the design, implementation and administration of computer networks.

B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)

Comparative Data for the past five years:
2015-2016
    6 out of 7 (85.7%) met the performance measure.
2016-2017
    19 out of 21 (90.5%) met the performance measure.
2017-2018
    11 out of 12 (91.7%) met the performance measure.
2018-2019
    11 out of 12 (91.7%) met the performance measure.					

A.						
Student Learning Outcome						

SLO #3:   Students will demonstrate knowledge and practical technology and business oriented skills to compete in the modern business environment.

B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)
The Major Field Test (MFT) in Business administered by the Educational Testing Service in the areas of Accounting, Economics, Management,	At least 70 percent of the students will demonstrate their knowledge of the Business Support core through their average performance at or above the 50th	All students taking IT 4504 Capstone in Spring 2020. All classes are online.	9	Percentile # of students 90-100 80-89 2 70-79 1 60-69 2 50-59 40-49 30-39	N

A. Student Learning Outcome					
SLO #3: Students will demonstrate knowledge and practical technology and business oriented skills to compete in the modern business environment.					
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)
Marketing, and Management Information Systems.	percentile on the MFT.			20-29 10-19 3 Below 10 1 5 out of 9 (56%) scored at or above the 50 percentile.	

H. Conclusions

Although the test results were better than those from the previous year, the data may indicate that 4 out of 9 students have not put their efforts in taking the exam (below 20th percentile). The exam was administered online by ProctorU due to the campus closing as a result of COVID-19 pandemic. More incentives may be needed to encourage students to take the exam seriously.

Comparison Data for the past five years:

2015-2016
    5 out of 9 (56%) scored at or above the 50 percentile.
2016-2017
    2 out of 5 (40%) scored at or above the 50 percentile.
2017-2018
    6 out of 9 (40%) scored at or above the 50 percentile.
2018-2019
    3 out of 7 (43%) scored at or above the 50 percentile.
2019-2020
    5 out of 9 (56%) scored at or above the 50 percentile.

A. Student Learning Outcome						
SLO #4: Students will be able to integrate the entire software life cycle including analysis, design, implementation, and maintenance.						
B. **Assessment Measure**	**C.** **Performance Standard**	**D.** **Sampling Method**	**E.** **Sample Size (n)**	**F.** **Results**		**G.** **Standard Met (Y/N)**
In CS 3413, the instructor will make a series of assignments allowing students to demonstrate their ability to analyze problems and design complete software solutions for given problems. As the course progresses from analysis to design of software (and other systems), the students will use the Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC) and rapid prototyping software development methodologies to investigate and describe problem solutions.	In CS 3413, Systems Analysis and Design, 70% of the students will be able to analyze and design various software projects representing the requirements of a complete software design upon completing the course with an accuracy of 70%.	All BIT students taking CS 3413 in Fall 2019. Class is online.	10	Final Exam Grades: 90-100 % 9 80-89 % 0 70-79 % 0 60-69 % 0 Below 60 % 1 Final exam scores were tabulated for the assessment measures since this SLO practically covers the entire course. 9 out of 10 (90%) met the performance standard. One student did not take the exam.		Y
H. **Conclusions**						

A. Student Learning Outcome					
SLO #4: Students will be able to integrate the entire software life cycle including analysis, design, implementation, and maintenance.					
B. Assessment Measure	C. Performance Standard	D. Sampling Method	E. Sample Size (n)	F. Results	G. Standard Met (Y/N)
The final exam results (9 out of 10 A's and 1 F) are somewhat higher than the course grades (7 out of 10 A's, 2 B's and 1 C) which we used in previous years as the performance standard. However the difference is not significant in terms of meeting the standard. **Comparative Data for the past five years:** 2015-2016 8 out of 9 (89%) met the performance standard. 2016-2017 19 out of 21 (90%) met the performance standard. 2017-2018 17 out of 17 (100%) met the performance standard. 2018-2019 9 out of 10 (90%) met the performance standard. 2019-2020 9 out of 10 (90%) met the performance standard.					

PART 5
Proposed Instructional or Assessment Changes

Learning outcomes assessment can generate actionable evidence of student performance that can be used to improve student success and institutional effectiveness. Knowledge of student strengths and weakness gained through assessment can inform faculty efforts to improve course instruction and program curriculum.   Below discuss potential changes the department is considering which are aimed at improving student learning or the assessment process. Indicate which student learning outcome(s) will be affected and provide a rationale for each proposed change. These proposals will be revisited in next assessment cycle.

Proposed Change	Applicable Learning Outcomes	Rationale and Impact
PAT will reinstate questions from Data Structures to distinguish BIT from ASCS. Data Structures is only taught in BIT.	SLO #1	This change should give resulting data more specific to BIT majors.

PART 6
Summary of Assessment Measures

A. How many different assessment measures were used? 3

B. List the direct measures (see appendix): Programming Assessment Test (PAT), Business MFT, final exam grades.

C. List the indirect measures (see appendix):

PART 7
Faculty Participation and Signatures

A. Provide the names and signatures of all full time and adjunct faculty who contributed to this report.

Faculty Name	Assessment Role	Signature
Roy Gardner	Prepare report, collect, analyze data for IT 2153	On separate sheet
Susan Oliver	Collect, analyze data for CS 3413	On separate sheet
Curtis Sparling	Collect, analyze data for IT 4504, administered Business MFT exams	On separate sheet

B. Reviewed by:

Titles	Name	Signature	Date
Department Head	Roy Gardner	On separate sheet	
Dean	Susan Willis	On separate sheet	

Appendix

Student Learning Outcome

Student learning outcomes are the observable or measurable results that are expected of a student following a learning experience. Learning outcomes may address knowledge, skills, attitudes, or values that provide evidence that learning has occurred. They can apply to a specific course, a program of study, or an institution. Outcomes should be worded in language that clearly implies a measurable behavior or quality of student work. Outcomes should also include Bloom's action verbs appropriate to the skill level of learning expected of students.

> Examples:
> *Students will be able to apply principles of evidence-based medicine to determine clinical diagnoses and implement acceptable treatment modalities.*
> *Students will be able to articulate cultural and socioeconomic differences and the significance of these differences for instructional planning.*

Assessment Measure

An assessment measure is a tool or instrument used to gather evidence of student progress toward an established learning outcome. Every program learning outcome should have at least one appropriate assessment measure. Learning outcomes are frequently complex, however, and may require multiple measures to accurately assess student performance. Assessment plans should try to incorporate a combination of direct and indirect assessment measures. Direct provide concrete evidence of whether a student has command of a specific subject or content area, can perform a certain task, exhibits a particular skill, demonstrates a certain quality in their work, or holds a particular value. Because direct measures tap into actual student learning, it is often viewed as the preferred measure type. Indirect measures assess opinions or thoughts about the extent of a student's knowledge, skills, or attitudes. They reveal characteristics associated with learning, but they only imply that learning has occurred. Both types of measures can provide useful insight into student learning and experiences in a program. Each also has unique advantages and disadvantages in terms of the type of data and information it can provide. Examples of common direct and indirect measures are listed below.

<table>
<tr><td align="center">Direct Measures</td><td align="center">Indirect Measures</td></tr>
<tr><td valign="top">

- Comprehensive exams
- Class assignments
- Juried review of performances and exhibitions
- Internship or clinical evaluations
- Portfolio evaluation
- Pre/post exams
- Third-party exams such as field tests, certification exams, or licensure exams
- Senior thesis or capstone projects

</td><td valign="top">

- Graduate exit interviews
- Focus group responses
- Job placement statistics
- Graduate school placement statistics
- Graduation and retention rates
- Student and alumni surveys that assess perceptions of the program
- Employer surveys that assess perceptions of graduates
- Honors and awards earned by students and alumni.

</td></tr>
</table>

Performance Standard

A performance standard is a clearly-defined benchmark that establishes the minimally-acceptable level of performance expected of students for a particular measure.

> Examples:
> *At least 70% of students will score 70% or higher on a comprehensive final exam.*
> *At least 75% of students will earn score a "Proficient" or higher rating on the Communicate Effectively rubric.*

Sampling Method

Sampling method describes the methodology used for selecting the students that were assessed for a given measure. In some cases, such as most course-embedded measures, it is possible to assess all active enrolled students. In other cases, however, it is not feasible to measure the population of all potential students. In these cases, it is important that a well-designed sampling scheme be used to ensure the sample of students measured is an unbiased representation of the overall population. Where multiple instructors teach a particular course, care should be taken to assess students across all instructors, including adjuncts.

> Examples:
> *All students enrolled in BIOL 4801 Biology Research Methods II*
> *All majors graduating in the 2016-17 academic year.*

Sample Size

Sample size is the number of students from which evidence of student learning was obtained for a given assessment measure.

Results

Results are an analytical summary of the findings arising from the assessment of student performance for a particular assessment measure. Typical presentation includes descriptive statistics (mean, median, range) and score frequency distributions.

Standard Met?

This is a simple yes/no response that indicates whether the observed level of student performance for a particular measure meets or exceeds the established standard. An N/A may be used where circumstances prevented the department from accurately assessing a measure.

Conclusion

The conclusion is a reflective summary and determination of the assessment results obtained for a specific learning outcome. Questions to consider in this section include the following:

- Does the assessment evidence indicate the learning outcome is being satisfactorily met?
- Where multiple measures are used for a single outcome, do the results present a consistent or contradictory pattern?
- What are the most valuable insights gained from the assessment results?
- What strengths and weaknesses in student learning do the results indicate?
- What implications are there for enhancing teaching and learning?
- How can the assessment process be improved?