General Education Student Learning Report (rev. 7/15)

Fall 2018 - Spring 2019

Department of Business

Effectively assessing a degree program should address a number of factors:

- Valid student learning outcomes should be clearly articulated
- Valid assessment measures should be used, consistent with the standards of professional practice;
 There should be evidence that assessment data are being used by faculty to make necessary instructional or assessment changes; and there should be evidence that instructional or assessment changes are being implemented to improve student learning.

Relationship of Degree Program Learning Outcomes to Departmental and University Missions

RSU Mission	General Education Mission
Our mission is to ensure students develop the skills and knowledge required to achieve professional and personal goals in dynamic local and global communities	General Education at Rogers State University provides a broad foundation of intellectual skills, knowledge, and perspectives to enable students across the University to achieve professional and personal goals in a dynamic local or global society.
RSU Commitments	General Education Outcomes
To provide quality associate, baccalaureate, and graduate degree opportunities and educational experiences which foster student excellence in oral and written communications, scientific reasoning, and critical and creative thinking.	 Think critically and creatively. Acquire, analyze, and evaluate knowledge of human cultures and the physical and natural world. Use written, oral, and visual communication effectively.

University Assessment Committee

RSU Mission	General Education Mission
	4) Develop an individual perspective on the human experience, and demonstrate an understanding of diverse perspectives and values.5) Demonstrate civic knowledge and engagement, ethical reasoning, and skills for lifelong learning.
To promote an atmosphere of academic and intellectual freedom and respect for diverse expression in an environment of physical safety that is supportive of teaching and learning.	
To provide a general liberal arts education that supports specialized academic programs and prepares students for lifelong learning and service in a diverse society.	 Think critically and creatively. Acquire, analyze, and evaluate knowledge of human cultures and the physical and natural world. Use written, oral, and visual communication effectively. Develop an individual perspective on the human experience, and demonstrate an understanding of diverse perspectives and values. Demonstrate civic knowledge and engagement, ethical reasoning, and skills for lifelong learning.
To provide students with a diverse, innovative faculty dedicated to excellence in teaching, scholarly pursuits, and continuous improvement of programs.	
To provide university-wide student services, activities, and resources that complement academic programs.	
To support and strengthen student, faculty, and administrative structures that promote shared governance of the institution.	
To promote and encourage student, faculty, staff, and community interaction in a positive academic climate that creates opportunities for cultural, intellectual, and personal enrichment for the university and the communities it serves.	

PART 1

Discussion of Instructional Changes Resulting from 2017-2018 General Education Student Learning Report

as well. Emphasis should be placed on student learning and considerations such as course improvements, the assessment process, and the budget. If no changes were planned or implemented, simply state "No changes were planned or implemented." List and discuss all instructional or assessment changes proposed in Part 4 of last year's General Education Student Learning Report, whether implemented or not. Any other changes or assessment activities from last year, but not mentioned in last year's report, should be discussed here

University Assessment Committee

Page 2

Instructional or Assessment Changes	Changes Implemented (Y/N)	Impact of Changes on Degree Program Curriculum or Budget
Obtain consistency across pre-test/post-test measures used within Economics courses.	~	The Economics faculty have worked together to create consistency across assessment measures. This collaboration has also ensured consistency in content delivery across on-ground and online sections of all three Economics courses.

PART 2

Discussion of the University Assessment Committee's 2017-2018 Peer Review Report

at a future date. If they were not or will not be implemented, please explain why. If no changes were recommended last year, simply state "No accurately summarize all feedback and recommendations from the committee, and state whether they were implemented or will be implemented changes were recommended." Committee in its Degree Program Peer Review Report provided feedback and recommendations for improvement in assessment. List or [Complete this part only if the general education course(s) was among those that were peer reviewed last year.] The University Assessment

Feedback and Recommended Changes from the University Assessment Committee	Suggestions Implemented (Y/N)	Changes that Were or Will Be Implemented, or Rationale for Changes that Were Not Implemented
The courses were not subject to review in the previous cycle.	NA	NA

PART 3

Analysis of Evidence of Student Learning Outcomes

University Assessment Committee

The five General Education Outcomes are listed below. For each outcome, indicate the General Education courses being assessed, and provide a brief narrative of the assessment measures and performance standards used, as well as the sampling methods and sample sizes. For each measure, document the results of the activity measured and draw any relevant conclusions related to <u>strengths and weaknesses of their performance</u>. Finally, indicate whether the performance measure was met or not.

OUTCOME 1: Think critically and creatively

ECON 2123 Compr final ex scores	ECON 2113 Pre & scores	A. Course As
ehensive (am	Pre & Post Test scores	B. Assessment Measures
Seventy percent of students will earn a C or better on the comprehensive final exam.	Students will improve posttest scores over pretest scores by at least 10%.	C. Performance Standards
Three sections were chosen for inclusion.	Required course for all Business Administration majors. Three sections were included in measure.	D. Sampling Methods
n=74	n=41	E. Sample Size (N)
A B C D F N 19 24 17 7 7 % 26 32.2 23 9.4 9.4	Pretest avg – 51% Posttest avg – 82.3%	F. Results
Eighty-one percent of students earned a C or better on the final exam. The performance standard was met with inclusion of both an on-ground section and two online sections taught by two different instructors.	Learning improved by 31.3% as indicated from the pretest/posttest scores. Learning improvement was consistent between online sections and onground sections which indicates that the inconsistencies once present in instruction have been removed.	G. Conclusions
~	≺	H. Performance Standards Met (Y/N)

A. Course
B. Assessment Measures
C. Performance Standards
D. Sampling Methods
Sample Size (N)
F. Results
G. Conclusions
H. Performance Standards Met (Y/N)

OUTCOME 2: Acquire, analyze, and evaluate knowledge of human cultures and the physical and natural world.

	assignment. Moving						
	as an optional bonus						
	measure to be completed						
	allowed the assessment						
	small. The instructor						
	ground section was very						
	sample. The N for the on-						
	pretest scores within the						
	contributed to higher						
	2123. This likely						
	ECON 2113 and ECON						
	had completed both						
	majors or non-majors who						
	course were business						
	students completing this			measure.			
	course. The majority of		section)	included in	10%.		
	made to the rigor of the		(online	sections were	by at least		
	changes were once again	Posttest avg – 73%	29	majors. Both	pretest scores		
	online section. Significant	Pretest avg – 47.5%		Administration	scores over		
	section and 25.5% in the		section)		posttest		
	19% in the on-ground	Posttest avg – 83%	ground	course for all	improve	Test scores	
~	Learning improved by	Pretest avg - 64%	7 (on-		Students will	Pre & Post	ECON 3003
Standards Met (Y/N)			(N)	Methods	Standards	Measures	
Performance	Conclusions	Results	Sample	Sampling .	Performance	Assessment	Course
E	G.	TI	π	,	?	D	Δ

	A. Course
	B. Assessment Measures
	B. C. Assessment Performance Measures Standards
	D. Sampling Methods
	E. Sample Size (N)
	F. Results
forward the pretest/posttest measures will become mandatory assignments to accurately reflect the learning of all enrolled students regardless of motivation towards bonus points.	G. Conclusions
	H. Performance Standards Met (Y/N)

OUTCOME 3: Use written, oral, and visual communication effectively.

D. E. F. Sampling Sample Results Methods Size (N)
G. Conclusion

and values. OUTCOME 4: Develop an individual perspective on the human experience, and demonstrate an understanding of diverse perspectives

A. Course
B. Assessment Measures
B. C. Assessment Performance Measures Standards
D. Sampling Methods
E. Sample Size (N)
F. Results
G. Conclusions
H. Performance Standards Met (Y/N)

OUTCOME 5: Demonstrate civic knowledge and engagement, ethical reasoning, and skills for lifelong learning.

A. Course
B. Assessment Measures
B. C. Assessment Performance Measures Standards
D. Sampling Methods
Sample Size (N)
F. Results
G. Conclusions
H. Performance Standards Met (Y/N)

PART 4

Proposed Instructional Changes Based on Conclusions Drawn from Evidence Presented Above

State any proposed instructional or assessment changes to be implemented for the next academic year. They should be based on conclusions reported in Part 3 (above) or on informal activities, such as faculty meetings and discussions, conferences, pilot projects, textbook adoption, new course proposals, curriculum modifications, etc. Explain the rationale for these changes and how they will impact student learning and are planned." other considerations, such as curriculum, degree plan, assessment process, or budget. If no changes are planned, simply state "No changes

General Education Outcomes	Instructional or Assessment Changes	Rationale for Changes	Impact of Planned Changes on Student Learning and Other Considerations.
 Develop an individual perspective on the human 	4. Develop an individual The department has determined perspective on the human the need for an additional general	This new course fills a gap within the existing business and global	We believe that students will react positively to the addition of this
experience, and demonstrate an	education global studies course. MGMT 3033: Conflict Resolution:	studies curriculum. Curriculum that exposes students to alobal	curriculum and will see value in studying conflict resolution from a
understanding of diverse	I'm Always Right! will be offered	conflict resolution techniques and	global perspective.
-		responses/outcomes will be	
		beneficial to a variety of majors.	
5. Demonstrate civic	MGMT 3033: Conflict Resolution:	The department has very few	We believe that adding service
knowledge and	I'm Always Right! will incorporate	service learning opportunities	learning to the new course will provide
engagement, ethical	service learning into its	consistently woven into the	for unique learning opportunities and
reasoning, and skills for	curriculum.	curriculum. This course will	result in positive learning experiences
lifelong learning.		provide a unique opportunity for	for our students.
(service learning across multiple	
		majors.	

PART 5

Shared Pedagogical Insight that Improves Student Learning or Classroom Engagement

(OPTIONAL) If your department or a faculty member has developed a method or technique of teaching that seems especially effective in improving student learning or student engagement in the classroom, please provide a brief description below. More detail can be communicated during the face to face peer review session.

Description

PART 6 (A & B)

Documentation of Faculty Participation and Review

A. Provide the names and signatures of all faculty members who contributed to this report and indicate their respective roles.

Faculty Members	Roles in the Assessment Process (e.g., collect data, analyze data, prepare report, review report, etc.)	Signatures
Dr. Masoud Saffarian	Data collection Analysis	
Dr. Tom Carment	Data whection	
Dr. Joshua Ang	Jata collection Amalysis	

B. Reviewed by:

7	Dean	Department Head	Titles
	Just Wille.	Dr. Cathy Kennemer	Names
	Some Wille	Colling of	Signatures
	61-36-5	5/29/19	Date